

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2136
IFW

Applicant: Jennifer Pearson et al.

Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MAINTAINING LOGIN PREFERENCE INFORMATION OF
USERS IN A NETWORK-BASED TRANSACTION FACILITY

Docket No.: 2043.038US1

Serial No.: 09/772,347

Filed: January 29, 2001

Due Date: April 22, 2005

Examiner: Brandon S. Hoffman

Group Art Unit: 2136

MS Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

We are transmitting herewith the following attached items (as indicated with an "X"):

- ☒ Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (3 pgs.).
- ☒ Replacement Drawing Sheets of Figures 6-16 (11 pgs.).
- ☒ A return postcard.

Please consider this a **PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME** for sufficient number of months to enter these papers and please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.

Customer Number 49845


By: 

Atty: Joseph P. Mehrle


Reg. No. 45535

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 21 day of April, 2005.

Name



Signature



S/N 09/772,347

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Jennifer Pearson et al.

Examiner: Brandon Hoffman

Serial No.: 09/772,347

Group Art Unit: 2136

Filed: January 29, 2001

Docket: 2043.038US1

Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR MAINTAINING LOGIN PREFERENCE
INFORMATION OF USERS IN A NETWORK-BASED TRANSACTION
FACILITY

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

MS Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed March 22, 2005 in the above-referenced application and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, applicants submit the following corrected Replacement Drawing Sheets of Figures 6-16 from Applicants' previously-submitted Amendment and Response filed January 31, 2005.



CONCLUSION

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.121, only the non-compliant section of Applicants' previously-submitted Amendment and Response has been included in this response.

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the non-compliant status and examine the Replacement Drawings of Figures 6-16 as appropriate.

The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicants' attorney at (513) 942-0224 to facilitate prosecution of this application.


If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,

JENNIFER PEARSON ET AL.


By their Representatives,

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.
P.O. Box 2938
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(513) 942-0224

Date 4-21-05 By 
Joseph F. Mehrle
Reg. No. 45,535

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 21 day of April, 2005.

Peter Rebuttoni
Name


Signature

IN THE DRAWINGS

Corrected drawings are supplied herewith.

Enclosed are copies of Replacement Figure 6-16 of the drawings, each correctly-identified as a "REPLACEMENT SHEET."

Applicants note that due to an oversight the FIGS. were originally numbered incorrectly as 1-5 and 7-17; suggesting that a FIG. 6 was missing. In fact, FIG. 7 should be FIG. 6 and the FIGS. should be renumbered accordingly as 6-16. These replacement sheets are provided with the correct numbering with this response. FIGS. 1-5 have not changed and are therefore not being resubmitted herewith.

The appropriate amendments to the specification were included in Applicants' previously-submitted Amendment and Response filed January 31, 2005. Therefore, Applicants believe that this objection is no longer appropriate.